

BAREKENG: Journal of Mathematics and Its Applications December 2023 Volume 17 Issue 4 Page 1997–2010 P-ISSN: 1978-7227 E-ISSN: 2615-3017

doi https://doi.org/10.30598/barekengvol17iss4pp1997-2010

ON THE COMMUTATION MATRIX

Yanita Yanita^{1*}, Lyra Yulianti²

^{1,2}Department of Mathematics and Data Science, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Andalas University Kampus Unand Limau Manis, Padang 25163, Indonesia

Corresponding author's e-mail: * yanita@sci.unand.ac.id

ABSTRACT

Article History:

Received: 7th June 2023 Revised: 8th September 2023 Accepted: 10th October2023 The commutation matrix is a matrix that transforms any vec matrix $A, m \times n$, to vec transpose A. In this article, three definitions of the commutation matrix are presented in different ways. It is shown that these three definitions are equivalent. Proof of the equivalent uses the properties in the Kronecker product on the matrix. We also gave the example of the commutation matrix using three ways as Moreover, in this study, we investigate the properties of the commutation matrix related to its transpose and the relation between the vec matrix and the vec transpose matrix using the commutation matrix. We have that the transpose and the inverse of the commutation matrix is its transpose.

Keywords:

Commutation Matrix; Vec Matrix; Vec Transpose Matrix.



This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike 4.0 International License.

How to cite this article:

Yanita and L. Yulianti., "ON THE COMMUTATION MATRIX," BAREKENG: J. Math. & App., vol. 17, iss. 4, pp. 1997-2010, December, 2023.

Copyright © 2023 Author(s) Journal homepage: https://ojs3.unpatti.ac.id/index.php/barekeng/ Journal e-mail: barekeng.math@yahoo.com; barekeng.journal@mail.unpatti.ac.id

Research Article • **Open Access**

1. INTRODUCTION

The vec matrix is a unique operation that can change a matrix into a column vector [1]. It can also be said to change the matrix into a vector by stacking the column vertically [2]. Note that vec(A) and $vec(A^T)$ have duplicate entries, but the composition of the elements is different. If the matrix A, $m \times n$, and its transpose is matrix A^T , then the vectors vec(A) and $vec(A^T)$ are $mn \times 1$. The vec operator then inspires the creation of new operators with similar transformations, making the matrix a column vector. Operators who were later born were vech, vecd, vecp, and vecb operators (see [3], [4], [5], [6], and [7]). With the new operators after the vec operator, the relation between each operator is also created.

A unique matrix that transforms vec(A) to $vec(A^T)$ for any matrix $m \times n$ [2] is called by commutation matrix. This matrix is defined as a square matrix containing only zeroes and ones. In the previous study, the commutation matrix can be connected to the statistics, i.e., the matrix is applied to some problems related to normal distributions [8]. Furthermore, commutation matrix establishes the relation between the Kronecker product and the *vec*-permutation matrix [9]. Then, [10] extends the concept of the commutation matrix to the commutation tensor and uses the commutation tensor to achieve the unification of the two formulas of linear preserver of the matrix rank.

In [11], it is stated that there are matrices that are like a commutation matrix, i.e., the matrix transform the *vec* matrix *A* to the *vec* transpose matrix A ($A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$) for the matrices in the Kronecker quaternion group found in [12]. Similar properties are duplicate entries on matrix *A* in the Kronecker quaternion group with the same position.

The previous study obtained the commutation matrix result using properties of *vec* some matrices (two or more). In this paper, we present the proof of some properties of the commutation matrix differently.

The organization of this paper is as follows. In the Research Methods, some basic concepts and notations of *vec*, permutation matrix, Kronecker product, and commutation matrix will be used in the section Result and Discussion, are presented. In the section Result and Discussion, the definitions of commutation matrix are discussed. Next, it presents the properties of the commutation matrix.

2. RESEARCH METHODS

The research methods are based on the literature study related to the commutation matrix. The first step of this research is to show the equivalence of the three definitions and then some theorems related to the commutation matrix.

First, this section presents some definitions, properties and theorems related to commutation matrix, i.e., *vec*, permutation matrix, and Kronecker product.

Definition 1 [13] Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be an $m \times n$ matrix, and A_j is the column of A. The vec(A) is the *n*-column vector, i.e.,

$$vec(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ \vdots \\ A_n \end{bmatrix}$$

Example 1. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 1 & 3 \\ 8 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\ 1 & 4 & 9 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $vec(A) = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \\ A_3 \\ A_4 \end{bmatrix}$, where $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 8 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 0 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 9 \end{bmatrix}$, $A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

Or it can also be written with $vec(A) = [1 \ 8 \ 1 \ 3 \ 0 \ 4 \ 1 \ 3 \ 9 \ 3 \ 1 \ 0]^T$.

Let S_n denote the set of all permutations of the *n* element set $[n] \coloneqq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. A permutation is a one-to-one function from [n] onto [n]. The permutation of finite sets is usually given by listing each element of the domain and its corresponding functional value.

Example 2. Define a permutation σ of the set $[n] \coloneqq \{1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8\}$ by specifying $\sigma(1) = 7$, $\sigma(2) = 1$, $\sigma(3) = 3$, $\sigma(4) = 6$, $\sigma(5) = 2$, $\sigma(6) = 4$, $\sigma(7) = 5$, $\sigma(8) = 8$. A more convenient way to express this correspondence is to write σ in array form as

$$\sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \\ 7 & 1 & 3 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 5 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1)

There is another notation commonly used to specify permutation. It is called cycle notation. Cycle notation has theoretical advantages in that specific essential properties of the permutation can be readily determined when cycle notation is used. For example, permutation in Equation (1) can be written as $\sigma = (1 \ 7 \ 5 \ 2)(4 \ 6)$. For detail, see [14].

If σ is a permutation, we have σ change the identity matrix as follows:

Definition 2. [15] Let σ be a permutation in S_n . Define the permutation matrix $P(\sigma) = [\delta_{i,\sigma(j)}]$, $\delta_{i,\sigma(j)} = ent_{i,i}(P(\sigma))$, where

$$\delta_{i,\sigma(j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = \sigma(j) \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq \sigma(j) \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. [14] Let π and σ be two permutations in S_n , then $P(\pi)P(\sigma) = P(\pi\sigma)$.

Definition 3. [1] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$. The Kronecker product of A and B, is denoted by $A \otimes B \in \mathbb{R}^{mp \times nq}$ and is defined to be the matrix

$$A \otimes B = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11}B & a_{12}B & \cdots & a_{1n}B \\ a_{21}B & a_{22}B & \cdots & a_{2n}B \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1}B & a_{m2}B & \cdots & a_{mn}B \end{bmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2. [1] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times t}$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times s}$. Then $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$.

Theorem 3. [1] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times t}$, and $D \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times s}$. Then $(A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = (AC) \otimes (BD)$.

Theorem 4. [13] Let $A, B \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$. Then $(A \otimes B)^T = A^T \otimes B^T$. **Theorem 5.** [13] Let $a \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$. Then $a \otimes b^T = b^T \otimes a$.

Theorem 6. [16] Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times p}$, $C \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times q}$. Then

 $vec(ABC) = (C^T \otimes A) vec(B)$.

Let *A* be a arbitrary $m \times n$ matrix, the commutation matrix of *A* is a matrix that transform *vec* matrix *A* to the *vec* transpose matrix. There are several ways to define this matrix, and in this paper is given three different ways to determine this commutation matrix.

Definition 4.

a. [13] Let H_{ij} be an $m \times n$ matrix with 1 in its $(i, j)^{th}$ position and zero elsewhere. Then the $mn \times mn$ commutation matrix, denoted by K_{mn} , is given by:

$$K_{m,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}^{T})$$

Remark. The matrix H_{ij} can be conveniently expressed using the column from the identity matrices I_m and I_n . If $\boldsymbol{e}_{i,m}$ is the *i*th column of I_m , and $\boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}$ is the *j*th column of I_n , then $H_{ij} = \boldsymbol{e}_{i,m} \boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}^T$.

b. [9] Let I_n be the identity matrix, and e_{im} is an m-dimensional column vector that has 1 in the i^{th} position and 0 s elsewhere; that is:

$$\boldsymbol{e}_{i,m} = [0, 0, ..., 0, 1, 0, ..., 0]^T$$
 and $I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e}_{i,m}^T = a_{ij} \boldsymbol{e}_{i,m}^T$, $a_{ij} \in I_n$.
The commutation matrix, denoted by K_{mn} is given by:

$$K_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{1m}}^T \\ I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{2m}}^T \\ \vdots \\ I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{mm}}^T \end{pmatrix}$$

- c. [10] A permutation matrix *P* is called a commutation matrix of a matrix, $m \times n$, if it satisfies the following conditions:
 - i. $P = [A_{ij}]$ is an $m \times n$ block matrix, with each block A_{ij} being an $n \times m$ matrix.

ii. For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $A_{ij} = (a_{st}^{(i,j)})$ is a (0,1) matrix with a unique 1 which lies at the position (j, i).

We denote this commutation matrix by $K_{m,n}$, thus a commutation matrix is of size $mn \times mn$.

Example 3. Using **Definition 4** (a - c), we demonstrate how to create the commutation matrix.

Let *A* be a matrix with size 2×4 , then the commutation matrix for *A* is defined as a matrix 8×8 , symbolized by $K_{2,4}$ and using **Definition 4** (a), and **Theorem 5** we have $K_{2,4}$ is:

$$\begin{split} K_{2,4} &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}^{T}) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left((e_{l,2} e_{j,4}^{T}) \otimes (e_{j,4} e_{l,2}^{T}) \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left((e_{l,2} \otimes e_{j,4}) (e_{j,4} \otimes e_{l,2})^{T} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left((e_{l,2} \otimes e_{j,4}) (e_{j,4} \otimes e_{l,2})^{T} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{4} \left((e_{l,2} \otimes e_{j,4}) \otimes (e_{j,4} \otimes e_{l,2})^{T} \right) \\ &= \sum_{l=1}^{2} \left(e_{l,2} \otimes \int_{j=1}^{4} (e_{j,4} \otimes e_{j,4}^{T}) \otimes e_{l,2}^{T} \right) \\ &= \left(\prod_{l=1}^{2} \left(e_{l,2} \otimes I_{4} \otimes e_{l,2}^{T} \right) + (e_{2,2} \otimes I_{4} \otimes e_{2,2}^{T}) \\ &= \left(\prod_{l=1}^{1} \left(0 \right) \left(\prod_{l=1}^{10} \prod_{l=$$

_	$ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\$	0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1	0 0 1 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	
	L()	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	

Using **Definition 4** (b), matrix $K_{2,4}$ is a 8 × 8 permutation matrix partitioned by a 2 × 4 block matrix, i.e.

$$K_{2,4} = \begin{bmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & A_{13} & A_{14} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & A_{23} & A_{24} \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A_{ij} = (a_{st}^{(i,j)})$ is a 4 × 2 matrix whose unique non-zero entry is $a_{ji}^{(i,j)} = 1$. Specifically

Using **Definition 4** (c), matrix $K_{2,4}$ is defined as:

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this section, we present the properties of the commutation matrix. Since, there are three ways to determine the commutation matrix, so in **Theorem 7** is given that the three definitions are equivalent. Furthermore, in **Theorem 8**, is proven that the commutation matrix is the same as its transpose. And last, in **Theorem 9** it is proven that relation between *vec* matrix and *vec* transpose matrix.

Theorem 7. Let $K_{m,n}$ be a commutation matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- 1. **Definition 4** (a),
- 2. **Definition 4** (b),
- 3. **Definition 4** (c).

Proof. $(1 \Rightarrow 2)$ Using Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5., we have:

$$K_{mn} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}^{T}),$$

2002

 $(2 \Rightarrow 3)$ Consider that

$$K_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{1m}}^T \\ I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{2m}}^T \\ \vdots \\ I_n \otimes \boldsymbol{e_{mm}}^T \end{pmatrix},$$

2004

$= \begin{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (1 & 0 & \cdots & 0) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (0 & 1 & \cdots & 0) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes (0 & 0 & \cdots & 1) \end{pmatrix},$	
$= \begin{pmatrix} 1(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) & 1(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 1(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 1(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 0) \ 0($	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \ 1(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ 1(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 1(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots \ \vdots \ \vdots \\ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 0(1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 1(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 1(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \ 1(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$= \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) & 0(0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 1(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) & 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) & 1(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) & 0(0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 & 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \\ 0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 & 1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ \end{pmatrix}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$= \begin{pmatrix} \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots & & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & & & & \vdots & & \\ \vdots & & & &$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & A_{mn} \end{pmatrix}.$	0 0 … 1 /

We have that $K_{m,n}$ is a matrix $mn \times mn$ with

- *i.* $P = [A_{ij}]$ is a $m \times n$ block matrix with each block A_{ij} being a $n \times m$ matrix.
- *ii.* For each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $A_{ij} = (a_{st}^{(i,j)})$ is a (0,1) matrix with a unique 1 which lies at the position (j, i).
- $(3 \Rightarrow 1)$ Consider that

$$K_{m,n} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1n} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_{m1} & A_{m2} & \cdots & A_{mn} \end{pmatrix},$$

where A_{ij} be an $n \times m$ matrix and for each $i \in \{1, 2, ..., m\}$, $j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, $A_{ij} = (a_{s,t}^{(i,j)})$ is a (0,1) matrix with a unique 1 which lies at the position (j, i). Therefore, we have:

Thus, we have

$$K_{m,n} = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}^{T}).$$

Theorem 8. Let $K_{m,n}$ matrix as in **Definition 4**, then $K_{m,n} = K_{n,m}{}^{T}$ and $K_{m,n}K_{m,n}{}^{T} = K_{m,n}{}^{T}K_{m,n} = I_{mn}$. **Proof.** Without loss of generality, we use the definition of $K_{m,n}$ as in **Definition 4** (a).

$$K_{m,n}{}^{T} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}{}^{T})\right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((e_{i,m} e_{j,n}^{T}) \otimes (e_{j,n} e_{i,m}^{T}) \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((e_{i,m} \otimes e_{j,n}) (e_{j,n} \otimes e_{i,m})^{T} \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left((e_{i,m} \otimes e_{j,n}) \otimes (e_{j,n} \otimes e_{i,m})^{T} \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} \left(e_{i,m} \otimes \sum_{j=1}^{n} (e_{j,n} \otimes e_{j,n}^{T}) \otimes e_{i,m}^{T} \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(\left(e_{i,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{i,m}^{T} \right) + \left(e_{2,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{2,m}^{T} \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}^{T} \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= \left(e_{1,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{1,m}^{T} \right) + \left(e_{2,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{2,m}^{T} \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}^{T} \right) \right)^{T}$$

$$= K_{n,m}.$$

Next, we have that

$$\begin{split} K_{m,n}K_{m,n}{}^{T} &= K_{m,n}K_{n,m} \\ &= \left(\sum_{l=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}{}^{T})\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} (H_{ji} \otimes H_{ji}{}^{T})\right) \\ &= \left((e_{1,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{1,m}{}^{T}) + (e_{2,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{2,m}{}^{T}) + \dots + (e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}{}^{T})\right) \left((e_{1,n} \otimes I_{m} \otimes e_{1,n}{}^{T}) \\ &+ (e_{2,n} \otimes I_{m} \otimes e_{2,n}{}^{T}) + \dots + (e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}{}^{T})\right) \\ &= \left((e_{1,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{1,m}{}^{T}) + (e_{2,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{2,m}{}^{T}) + \dots + (e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}{}^{T})\right) \left((e_{1,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{1,m}{}^{T}) \\ &+ (e_{2,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{2,m}{}^{T}) + \dots + (e_{m,m} \otimes I_{n} \otimes e_{m,m}{}^{T})\right) \\ &= \begin{pmatrix}I_{m} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{m} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_{m} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_{m} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= I_{mn}, \end{split}$$

 $K_{m,n}{}^T K_{m,n} = K_{n,m} K_{m,n}$

$$= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{i=1}^{m} (H_{ji} \otimes H_{ji}^{T})\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}^{T})\right)$$

$$= \left(\left(e_{1,n} \otimes I_m \otimes e_{1,n}^T \right) + \left(e_{2,n} \otimes I_m \otimes e_{2,n}^T \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{m,m}^T \right) \right) \left(\left(e_{1,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{1,m}^T \right) + \left(e_{2,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{2,m}^T \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{m,m}^T \right) \right) \right) \\= \left(\left(e_{1,n} \otimes I_m \otimes e_{1,n}^T \right) + \left(e_{2,n} \otimes I_m \otimes e_{2,n}^T \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{m,m}^T \right) \right) \left(\left(e_{1,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{1,m}^T \right) + \left(e_{2,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{2,m}^T \right) + \dots + \left(e_{m,m} \otimes I_n \otimes e_{m,m}^T \right) \right) \right) \\= \left(\begin{pmatrix} I_m & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_m & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & I_m & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & I_m \end{array} \right) \\= I_{mn}.$$

Theorem 9. Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Then $vec(A) = K_{n,n}vec(A^T)$.

Proof. Consider that

$$\begin{split} A^{T} &= \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n1} \\ a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1n} & a_{2n} & \cdots & a_{nn} \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \\ &= a_{11} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times 1} (1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0)_{1 \times m} + a_{12} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) + \dots + a_{1n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (1 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ &+ a_{21} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) + a_{22} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) + \dots + a_{2n} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 1 \ \cdots \ 0) \\ &+ a_{n1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) + a_{n2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) + \dots + a_{nn} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} (0 \ 0 \ \cdots \ 1) \\ &= a_{11} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} e_{2,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + \dots + a_{1n} e_{n,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{21} e_{1,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{n,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + a_{n1} e_{1,n} e_{n,n}^{T} + a_{21} e_{1,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{n,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots + a_{1n} e_{n,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{21} e_{1,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{n,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots + a_{1n} e_{n,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{21} e_{1,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{n,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots + a_{1n} e_{n,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{n,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{n,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots + a_{n1} e_{n,n} e_{n,n}^{T} + a_{22} e_{2,n} e_{n,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{2,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{21}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{12}^{T} + a_{22} H_{22}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + a_{12} H_{12}^{T} + \dots \\ &+ a_{2n} e_{1,n} e_{1,n}^{T} + \dots \\$$

Thus,

$$vec(A^{T}) = vec\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}a_{ij}H_{ij}^{T}\right)$$
$$= vec\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{j=1}^{n}(a_{ij}\boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}\boldsymbol{e}_{i,n}^{T})\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}vec(a_{ij}\boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}a_{ij}\boldsymbol{e}_{i,n}^{T})$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}vec(\boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}\boldsymbol{e}_{i,n}^{T}A\boldsymbol{e}_{j,n}\boldsymbol{e}_{i,n}^{T})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} vec(H_{ij}{}^{T}AH_{ij}{}^{T})$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} vec(H_{ij}{}^{T}AH_{ij}{}^{T})$$

=
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (H_{ij} \otimes H_{ij}{}^{T})vec(A)$$

=
$$K_{n,n}vec(A)$$

Using **Theorem 8**, we conclude that $K_{n,n}vec(A^T) = vec(A)$.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper establishes some conclusions on the commutation matrix. The results of the properties of the commutation matrix using one of the definitions, the commutation matrix. A different way to prove the properties of the commutation matrix is given. All these obtained conclusions make the theory of commutation matrix more complete.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was funded by Andalas University (Grant No. 11/UN.16.03.D/PP/FMIPA/2022).

REFERENCES

- [1] D. A. Harville, Matrix Algebra from a Statistician's Perspective, New York: Springer, 2008.
- [2] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker, *Matrix Differential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics*, USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 2019.
- [3] K. G. Jinadasa, "Applications of the matrix operators vech and vec," *Linear Algebra and Its Applications*, vol. 101, pp. 73-79, 1988.
- [4] Y. Hardy and W. Steeb, "Vec-operator, Kronecker product and entanglement," *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 71-76, 2010.
- [5] I. Ojeda, "Kronecker square roots and the block vec matrix," *The American Mathematical Monthly*, vol. 122, no. 1, pp. 60-64, 2015.
- [6] D. Nakamura, "On the matrix operator vecp," Available at SSRN: https://ssrn.com/abstract=2929422 or http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2929422, pp. 1-12, 2017.
- [7] D. Nakamura, "On the relationship between the matrix operator, vech and vecd," *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Method*, vol. 13, p. 47, 2017.
- [8] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker, "The Commutation Matrix: Some Properties and Application," *The Annals of Statistics*, vol. 7, no. 2, pp. 381-394, 1979.
- [9] H. Zhang and F. Ding, "On the Kronecker Products and Their Applications," Journal of Applied Mathematics, pp. 1-8, 2013.
- [10] C. Xu, H. Lingling and L. Zerong, "Commutation Matrices and Commutation Tensor," *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 1721-1742, 2020.
- [11] Y. Yanita, E. Purwanti and L. Yulianti, "The commutation matrices of elements in Kronecker quaternion group," *Jambura Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 135-144, 2022.
- [12] Y. Yanita, A. M. Zakiya dan M. R. Helmi, "Solvability group from Kronecker product on the representation of quaternion group," Asian Journal of Scientifics Research, pp. 293-297, 2018.
- [13] J. R. Schott, Matrix Analysis for Statistics, 3rd ed., New Jersey: John Wiley and Sons, 2017.
- [14] J. A. Galian, Contemporary Abstract Algebra, 7 ed., Belmon, CA: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning, 2010.
- [15] R. Piziak and P. L. Odell, *Matrix Theory: From Generalized Inverses to Jordan Form*, New York: Chapmann & Hall/CRC, 2007.
- [16] J. R. Magnus and H. Neudecker, *Matrix Diffrential Calculus with Applications in Statistics and Econometrics*, USA: John Wiley and Sons, Inc, 2019.