PUBLICUS: Jurnal Administrasi Publik

The Role of Tax Amnesty in Increasing Tax Revenue and State Income in Indonesia

Peranan Tax Amnesty Terhadap Peningkatan Pajak dan Pendapatan Negara di Indonesia

Putri Setiyana[1][*], Aenur Rif'an[2], Oktaviana Bayu[3], Ryan Susanto[4]

[1]Public Administration Study Program, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Tidar Magelang, Indonesia
[2]Public Administration Study Program, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Tidar Magelang, Indonesia
[3]Public Administration Study Program, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Tidar Magelang, Indonesia
[4]Public Administration Study Program, Faculty of Social and Political Sciences, University of Tidar Magelang, Indonesia
*Correspondence E-Mail: setiyanaput@gmail.com

Abstract

This article discusses the effectiveness of the tax amnesty policy in increasing state revenue and taxpayer compliance in Indonesia. Using a qualitative approach based on literature review, this study examines the implementation mechanisms of the 2016–2017 tax amnesty program and its impact on the national taxation structure. The analysis shows that the program successfully collected Rp135 trillion in redemption payments from 965,983 taxpayers and resulted in asset declarations amounting to Rp4,855 trillion—equivalent to 40% of the national GDP. However, the realization of asset repatriation only reached 14.7% of the target, indicating challenges in returning offshore assets. This program contributed to strengthening the tax database and promoting voluntary compliance, although it still faces challenges such as perceptions of injustice and low tax literacy. The article proposes three follow-up strategies: consistent law enforcement after the amnesty period, optimization of the taxpayer database through inter-agency and technological integration, and enhanced tax education. In conclusion, tax amnesty has the potential to be an effective fiscal instrument if accompanied by structural reforms and well-measured long-term strategies.

Keywords: Tax Amnesty, Tax Avenue, Taxpayer Compliance.

Abstrak

Artikel ini membahas efektivitas kebijakan *tax amnesty* (amnesti pajak) dalam meningkatkan penerimaan negara dan kepatuhan wajib pajak di Indonesia. Dengan menggunakan pendekatan kualitatif berbasis studi kepustakaan, penelitian ini menelaah mekanisme implementasi program *tax amnesty* 2016–2017 dan dampaknya terhadap struktur perpajakan nasional. Hasil analisis menunjukkan bahwa program tersebut berhasil menghimpun dana tebusan sebesar Rp135 triliun dari 965.983 wajib pajak, serta menghasilkan deklarasi harta hingga Rp4.855 triliun—setara 40% dari PDB nasional. Namun, realisasi repatriasi hanya mencapai 14,7% dari target, mengindikasikan adanya kendala dalam

pemulangan aset luar negeri. Program ini berkontribusi pada penguatan basis data perpajakan dan mendorong peningkatan kepatuhan sukarela, meskipun masih menghadapi tantangan seperti persepsi ketidakadilan dan rendahnya literasi pajak. Artikel ini menyarankan tiga strategi lanjutan: konsistensi penegakan hukum pasca-amnesti, optimalisasi basis data wajib pajak melalui integrasi lintas lembaga dan teknologi, serta penguatan edukasi perpajakan. Kesimpulannya, *tax amnesty* berpotensi menjadi instrumen fiskal yang efektif apabila diiringi dengan reformasi struktural dan strategi jangka panjang yang terukur.

Kata Kunci: Tax Amnesty, Penerimaan Pajak, Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak.

INTRODUCTION

Taxation serves as the fundamental pillar of state revenue generation and economic governance, yet many developing nations, including Indonesia, continue to grapple with persistent challenges in optimizing their tax collection potential. The Indonesian tax system has long been characterized by significant compliance gaps, widespread tax avoidance practices, and substantial unreported economic activities that collectively undermine the government's fiscal capacity (Cahya & Kiswara, 2021). These systemic deficiencies create a considerable revenue shortfall that impedes the state's ability to finance critical development programs and public services, ultimately constraining economic growth and social welfare improvements.

In response to these persistent taxation challenges, governments worldwide have increasingly turned to tax amnesty programs as strategic policy instruments designed to simultaneously address compliance deficits and generate immediate revenue increases. Tax amnesty, fundamentally defined as the temporary elimination or substantial reduction of tax penalties, interest charges, and criminal sanctions in exchange for voluntary disclosure of previously unreported assets and income, represents a comprehensive approach to tax system rehabilitation. This policy mechanism operates on the principle of providing taxpayers with a clean slate opportunity to regularize their tax affairs while offering governments a pathway to expand their tax base and improve future compliance rates (Ibrahim et al., 2018).

The theoretical foundation of tax amnesty policy rests on several interconnected economic and behavioral assumptions. From a revenue perspective, these programs aim to capture previously untaxed economic activities by incentivizing voluntary compliance through reduced penalty structures. From a behavioral standpoint, tax amnesty programs seek to transform non-compliant taxpayers into regular participants in the formal tax system by removing the psychological and financial barriers associated with past non-compliance (Nurmantu, 2003). Additionally, these programs serve as powerful tools for database expansion, enabling tax authorities to acquire comprehensive information about taxpayer assets and income sources that can facilitate more effective monitoring and enforcement in subsequent periods.

Indonesia's experience with tax amnesty reached its most significant milestone with the implementation of the comprehensive Tax Amnesty Program during 2016-2017, representing the most ambitious fiscal policy initiative in the nation's modern taxation history. This program emerged against the backdrop of pressing fiscal needs, declining commodity prices, global economic uncertainty, and growing recognition of the substantial shadow economy operating beyond the reach of conventional tax collection mechanisms (Wiyono et al., 2020). The Indonesian government designed this initiative not merely as a revenue-generating exercise but as a fundamental reform mechanism intended to modernize the country's taxation infrastructure and establish a more transparent, equitable, and efficient tax administration system.

The 2016-2017 Tax Amnesty Program was structured as a multi-phase initiative offering varying incentive structures based on timing and asset categories, with redemption rates ranging from as low as 0.5% for small-scale domestic taxpayers to 10% for offshore assets declared in the final period. The program's comprehensive scope encompassed both domestic and international assets, with particular emphasis on encouraging the repatriation of funds held abroad by Indonesian citizens. Beyond immediate revenue generation, the program explicitly aimed to achieve several strategic objectives including the expansion of the taxpayer database, the formalization of previously informal economic

activities, the strengthening of tax administration capabilities, and the establishment of a foundation for enhanced voluntary compliance in the post-amnesty period (Soemitro, 1965).

The significance of Indonesia's tax amnesty program extends beyond its domestic implications, offering valuable insights for tax policy design and implementation in emerging economies facing similar challenges. The program's scale, measured by the magnitude of asset declarations equivalent to 40% of national GDP, positions it among the largest tax amnesty initiatives globally, making its outcomes particularly relevant for international tax policy discourse. Furthermore, the program's multi-dimensional approach, combining immediate revenue generation with long-term institutional reform objectives, provides a comprehensive case study for evaluating the effectiveness of tax amnesty as a fiscal policy instrument (Siahaan, 2005).

However, the evaluation of tax amnesty effectiveness requires careful consideration of multiple performance dimensions beyond simple revenue metrics. While immediate revenue generation represents the most visible outcome, the long-term success of such programs depends critically on their ability to generate sustainable improvements in taxpayer compliance, enhance tax administration capabilities, and contribute to broader fiscal system modernization. The complex interplay between short-term revenue gains and long-term compliance effects necessitates comprehensive analysis that considers both quantitative outcomes and qualitative institutional changes resulting from program implementation.

This research seeks to provide a thorough examination of Indonesia's tax amnesty program, analyzing its implementation mechanisms, evaluating its impact on state revenue generation, and assessing its contribution to taxpayer compliance improvement. The study aims to identify the key factors that determined the program's success and limitations while drawing lessons that can inform future tax policy decisions in Indonesia and other developing nations considering similar initiatives. Through systematic analysis of program outcomes, implementation challenges, and post-amnesty developments, this research contributes to the broader understanding of tax amnesty effectiveness as a tool for fiscal system reform and revenue enhancement in emerging economy contexts.

METHODS

This study employs a qualitative research approach through comprehensive literature review methodology to examine the role and effectiveness of Indonesia's tax amnesty policy in increasing state revenue and taxpayer compliance. The qualitative approach was selected as it allows for an in-depth analysis of complex policy mechanisms and their multifaceted impacts on the national taxation system, providing rich contextual understanding that quantitative methods alone cannot capture (Sugiyono, 2016).

The research methodology centers on systematic literature study, encompassing both theoretical frameworks and empirical findings from various scholarly sources. This approach involves the systematic identification, collection, analysis, and synthesis of relevant academic literature, policy documents, government reports, and statistical data related to Indonesia's tax amnesty program implemented during 2016-2017. The literature review process follows a structured approach, beginning with the identification of key search terms and databases, followed by systematic screening of sources based on relevance and quality criteria.

The data collection process involves gathering information from multiple types of sources including peer-reviewed academic journals, books, government publications, policy reports, working papers, and official statistical data from relevant institutions such as the Directorate General of Taxes and the Ministry of Finance. Primary emphasis is placed on sources that directly address the Indonesian tax amnesty program, its implementation mechanisms, revenue impacts, and effects on taxpayer compliance behavior. Secondary sources that provide comparative analysis with similar programs in other countries are also incorporated to provide broader context and analytical depth.

The analytical framework employed in this study follows a thematic analysis approach, where collected literature is systematically categorized and analyzed according to key research themes including policy mechanisms, revenue generation, asset repatriation, database expansion, and compliance outcomes. Each piece of literature is critically evaluated for its methodological rigor, data

quality, and relevance to the research objectives. The analysis involves identifying patterns, contradictions, and gaps in the existing literature while synthesizing findings to develop comprehensive understanding of the tax amnesty program's effectiveness.

To ensure reliability and validity of findings, the study employs triangulation by cross-referencing information from multiple sources and comparing different perspectives on similar issues. Official government data and statistics are prioritized for quantitative claims, while academic analyses provide theoretical grounding and critical evaluation of policy outcomes. The research also considers temporal factors, examining both immediate and longer-term impacts of the tax amnesty program on Indonesia's taxation landscape.

The limitations of this methodology include reliance on existing published materials, which may not capture the most recent developments or unpublished insights from policy implementers. Additionally, the qualitative nature of the approach means that findings are interpretive rather than statistically generalizable. However, the comprehensive nature of the literature review and the systematic analytical approach provide robust foundation for understanding the complex dynamics of tax amnesty policy implementation and its varied outcomes in the Indonesian context.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

This literature review examines Indonesia's tax amnesty program implemented during 2016-2017 through systematic analysis of government reports, policy documents, and academic publications. The results are presented in six interconnected sections: program implementation mechanisms and legal framework, quantitative outcomes including revenue generation and participation rates, asset repatriation performance, database expansion and administrative improvements, temporal revenue trends in the post-amnesty period, and compliance behavior changes among taxpayers. The findings provide empirical evidence regarding the program's effectiveness across multiple performance dimensions, revealing both substantial achievements and significant limitations in achieving stated policy objectives.

Tax Amnebsty Program Implementation and Mechanism

The Indonesian Tax Amnesty Program implemented during 2016-2017 operated through a structured multi-phase approach designed to maximize participation while maintaining administrative efficiency. Based on Law Number 11 of 2016, the program established a comprehensive framework that allowed taxpayers to voluntarily disclose previously unreported assets in exchange for elimination of tax penalties and criminal sanctions (Kurniawati, 2017). The implementation mechanism required taxpayers to submit declaration letters through designated tax service offices, complete with supporting documentation and payment of stipulated redemption fees.

The program structure incorporated a tiered redemption rate system that varied according to timing and asset location. For domestic assets and foreign assets repatriated to Indonesia, the redemption rates were set at 2% for the first period (July-September 2016), 3% for the second period (October-December 2016), and 5% for the final period (January-March 2017). For foreign assets that remained abroad, higher rates applied: 4%, 6%, and 10% respectively for the three periods (Maulana & Furqon, 2021). Small-scale taxpayers with annual turnover below IDR 4.8 billion received preferential treatment with reduced rates of 0.5% for asset declarations up to IDR 10 billion and 2% for declarations exceeding this threshold.

Quantitative Outcomes and Revenue Generation

The tax amnesty program achieved substantial quantitative results across multiple performance indicators. By the conclusion of the program on March 31, 2017, a total of 965,983 taxpayers had participated, including approximately 48,000 new taxpayers who previously lacked Taxpayer Identification Numbers (Pravasanti, 2018). This participation level, while falling short of the

government's initial target of 2 million taxpayers, represented significant engagement from the taxpaying population.

Table 1. Tax Amnesty Program Results by Period

Period	Redemption Revenue (IDR Trillion)	Domestic Asset Declaration (IDR Trillion)	Foreign Asset Declaration (IDR Trillion)	Repatriation (IDR Trillion)
I	97.2	2,532	951	137
II	103.31	3,143	1,013	141
III	114	3,608	1,033	146

Source: Directorate General of Taxes, Ministry of Finance, 2024.

The program's most significant achievement was in asset declarations, which totaled IDR 4,855 trillion, equivalent to approximately 40% of Indonesia's Gross Domestic Product at that time. This figure substantially exceeded the government's initial target by 121.37%, demonstrating the substantial unreported wealth within the Indonesian economy. The composition of declared assets showed a strong domestic orientation, with IDR 3,676 trillion (75.7%) representing domestic assets and IDR 1,031 trillion (21.2%) representing foreign assets.

Revenue generation through redemption payments reached IDR 135 trillion, achieving 81.8% of the government's target of IDR 165 trillion. While falling short of the ambitious revenue target, this amount represented a substantial contribution to state finances and demonstrated the program's capacity for immediate fiscal impact (Haeruddin, n.d.).

Asset Repatriation Performance

The repatriation component of the tax amnesty program yielded the most modest results relative to government expectations. Total repatriated funds reached only IDR 147 trillion, representing merely 14.7% of the ambitious government target of IDR 1,000 trillion. This significant shortfall highlights the challenges associated with incentivizing Indonesian citizens to relocate offshore assets back to the domestic financial system.

The limited repatriation success can be attributed to several factors including concerns about Indonesia's investment climate, currency stability, and the perceived security of domestic financial institutions compared to international alternatives. Many Indonesian asset holders appeared to prefer the option of declaring their offshore wealth while maintaining its foreign location, accepting the higher redemption rates rather than repatriating funds (Fatmala, 2017).

Database Expansion and Administrative Improvements

Beyond immediate revenue generation, the tax amnesty program produced substantial improvements in tax administration data quality and coverage. The program resulted in the registration of approximately 48,000 new taxpayers who previously operated outside the formal tax system. Additionally, the comprehensive asset declarations provided tax authorities with detailed information about taxpayer wealth profiles, enabling more accurate risk assessment and targeted enforcement strategies in subsequent periods.

The expanded database encompasses both the breadth of taxpayer coverage and the depth of information available for each registered taxpayer. This enhanced informational foundation enables more sophisticated compliance monitoring and facilitates the implementation of risk-based audit selection procedures (Susanti et al., 2022).

Temporal Revenue Trends and Post-Amnesty Impact

Analysis of Indonesia's tax revenue trends reveals notable improvements in the years following the tax amnesty program. According to Ministry of Finance statistics, tax revenue demonstrated consistent growth from IDR 1,278.63 trillion in 2021 to IDR 1,716.77 trillion in 2022, representing a substantial 34.3% increase. This growth trajectory continued in 2023, with tax revenue reaching IDR 1,869.23 trillion, reflecting an 8.9% annual growth rate and achieving 108.8% of the government's APBN target (Meilita & Pohan, 2022).

While direct causal attribution between the tax amnesty program and subsequent revenue growth requires careful consideration of multiple economic factors, the timing and magnitude of these improvements suggest that the program contributed to enhanced compliance and expanded tax base coverage in the post-amnesty period.

Compliamce Behavior and Taxpayer Response

The tax amnesty program demonstrated varying levels of success in influencing taxpayer compliance behavior. Post-amnesty enforcement measures, including the implementation of Article 18 of Law Number 11 of 2016, established penalties for taxpayers who failed to participate in the amnesty program despite possessing undeclared assets. This enforcement mechanism created incentive structures that encouraged ongoing compliance among both amnesty participants and non-participants.

The program's impact on voluntary compliance behavior shows mixed results, with some evidence of increased tax return filing rates and more accurate income reporting among participating taxpayers. However, sustained compliance monitoring remains essential to ensure that the temporary benefits of the amnesty program translate into permanent improvements in taxpayer behavior (Agun et al., 2022).

Discussion
Effectiveness of Tax Amnesty as a Revenue Generation Tool

The Indonesian tax amnesty program demonstrates both the potential and limitations of such policies as immediate revenue generation instruments. The collection of IDR 135 trillion in redemption payments represents a substantial fiscal injection, particularly when considered against the backdrop of Indonesia's fiscal needs during the implementation period. However, the achievement of 81.8% of the revenue target, while impressive in absolute terms, raises important questions about the accuracy of initial projections and the factors that prevented full target realization (Kurniawati, 2018).

The program's revenue generation effectiveness must be evaluated within the broader context of alternative policy options available to the government. Compared to traditional tax enforcement measures, which typically require lengthy legal processes and substantial administrative resources, the tax amnesty program provided relatively rapid revenue mobilization with minimal enforcement costs. This efficiency advantage is particularly significant in developing country contexts where tax administration capacity may be constrained and conventional enforcement mechanisms face institutional limitations (Pravasanti, 2018).

However, the revenue generation success also highlights potential concerns about the sustainability and equity implications of tax amnesty policies. The program essentially allowed non-compliant taxpayers to regularize their status at substantially reduced rates compared to full penalty structures, potentially creating perceptions of unfairness among consistently compliant taxpayers. This equity tension represents a fundamental challenge in tax amnesty design, requiring careful balance between incentivizing participation and maintaining fairness principles (Maulana, 2021).

Asset Declaration Success and Its Implivations

The program's most remarkable achievement lies in the magnitude of asset declarations, totaling IDR 4,855 trillion or approximately 40% of national GDP. This outcome far exceeded government expectations and revealed the substantial scale of unreported wealth within the Indonesian economy. The declaration results provide compelling evidence of the significant informal economic sector and the potential tax revenue that remains uncaptured through conventional collection mechanisms (Fatmala & Ardini, 2017).

The composition of declared assets, with approximately 75.7% representing domestic wealth, contradicts some assumptions about Indonesian capital flight and suggests that much of the undeclared

wealth remained within the domestic economy despite being unreported for tax purposes. This finding has important implications for understanding the nature of tax non-compliance in Indonesia, suggesting that the primary challenge may be reporting compliance rather than capital availability (L. Said, 2018).

The asset declaration success also creates opportunities and challenges for future tax administration. The comprehensive wealth information obtained through the program provides tax authorities with enhanced capability for income verification and compliance monitoring. However, realizing these benefits requires sophisticated data management systems and analytical capabilities that may strain existing administrative resources. The effectiveness of the amnesty program in generating long-term compliance improvements will largely depend on how successfully these data resources are utilized in subsequent enforcement efforts (Susanti et al., 2022).

Repatriation Challenges and Policy Design Limitations

The limited success in asset repatriation, achieving only 14.7% of the government target, represents the most significant shortcoming of the program and highlights important limitations in policy design and implementation. The substantial gap between repatriation targets and outcomes suggests that the incentive structure was insufficient to overcome the perceived risks and inconveniences associated with relocating offshore assets to the domestic financial system (Meilita & Pohan, 2022).

Several factors likely contributed to the repatriation shortfall, including concerns about Indonesia's macroeconomic stability, regulatory environment, and financial system sophistication compared to international alternatives. Indonesian asset holders may have rationally concluded that the cost savings from reduced redemption rates were insufficient to compensate for the perceived risks of domestic asset exposure. This outcome suggests that successful repatriation policies require not only attractive fiscal incentives but also comprehensive improvements in domestic investment climate and financial infrastructure (Agun et al., 2022).

The repatriation results also illuminate the complex relationship between tax policy and broader economic policy objectives. While the tax amnesty program achieved its primary fiscal goals, its limited success in capital repatriation suggests that tax incentives alone may be insufficient tools for addressing capital flight concerns. Effective repatriation policies likely require integrated approaches combining fiscal incentives with broader economic reforms designed to enhance domestic investment attractiveness.

Impact on Taxpayer Compliance and Behavioral Change

The assessment of tax amnesty impact on long-term compliance behavior presents complex analytical challenges due to the difficulty of isolating amnesty effects from other concurrent policy changes and economic factors. Available evidence suggests mixed results, with some indicators pointing toward improved compliance while others reveal persistent challenges in sustaining behavioral change beyond the amnesty period (Astuti, 2018).

The program's success in attracting approximately 48,000 new taxpayers represents a meaningful expansion of the formal tax system, potentially creating a foundation for improved future compliance. However, the sustainability of this compliance depends critically on the tax administration's capacity to maintain engagement with these new participants through effective service delivery and appropriate enforcement measures. Research suggests that taxpayers' post-amnesty compliance behavior is significantly influenced by their perceptions of tax administration fairness and effectiveness (Haeruddin, 2017).

The enforcement mechanisms established post-amnesty, including the implementation of enhanced penalties for non-participants, created important incentive structures for sustained compliance. However, the effectiveness of these measures depends on consistent implementation and the tax administration's capacity to identify and pursue non-compliant taxpayers. The program's long-term success in improving compliance will ultimately be determined by the quality of follow-up enforcement and the tax administration's ability to maintain credible deterrence mechanisms (Susanto & Lukman, 2024).

Administrative Capacity and Institutional Development

The tax amnesty program placed substantial demands on Indonesia's tax administration capacity, requiring rapid scaling of processing capabilities, staff training, and system development. The successful processing of nearly one million participant applications within the compressed program timeline demonstrates considerable administrative capability, though this success came at significant resource costs and may have diverted attention from routine tax administration functions (Wulan et al., 2023).

The program's implementation revealed both strengths and weaknesses in Indonesia's tax administration institutional capacity. The ability to design and execute a complex multi-phase program with varying incentive structures across different taxpayer categories and time periods demonstrates sophisticated policy development capabilities. However, challenges in achieving full participation targets and repatriation objectives suggest limitations in program design, marketing, or implementation execution (Gojali & Tarmidi, 2023).

The institutional learning derived from the tax amnesty experience provides valuable foundation for future policy development and tax administration modernization efforts. The comprehensive taxpayer data obtained through the program creates opportunities for enhanced risk assessment, audit selection, and compliance monitoring. However, realizing these benefits requires continued investment in analytical capabilities, staff training, and system development to effectively utilize the expanded information resources (Fitriani & Senopati, 2021).

International Context and Comparative Performance

When evaluated within the international context of tax amnesty programs, Indonesia's 2016-2017 initiative demonstrates relatively strong performance in several key dimensions. The scale of asset declarations as a proportion of GDP exceeds most comparable international programs, suggesting effective program design and implementation. The level of taxpayer participation, while below initial targets, compares favorably with similar initiatives in other developing countries facing comparable institutional and economic constraints (Manan & Amni, 2021).

The program's multi-phase structure with declining incentives over time reflects best practices in tax amnesty design, creating urgency for early participation while maintaining opportunities for broader engagement. This design feature likely contributed to the program's success in generating substantial early participation and maintaining momentum throughout the implementation period. However, the limited success in asset repatriation appears consistent with international experience, where bringing offshore assets back to domestic financial systems typically proves more challenging than achieving domestic asset declarations (Said, 2017).

The Indonesian experience provides valuable lessons for other countries considering similar initiatives, particularly regarding the importance of realistic target setting, comprehensive preparation, and integration with broader tax system reform efforts. The program's outcomes suggest that while tax amnesty can be an effective tool for immediate revenue generation and database expansion, its success in achieving longer-term compliance improvements requires sustained commitment to enforcement and institutional development beyond the amnesty period itself.

CONCLUSION

Conclusion

Indonesia's tax amnesty program implemented during 2016-2017 represents a significant milestone in the country's fiscal policy history, demonstrating both the potential and limitations of such programs as instruments for revenue generation and tax system reform. The comprehensive analysis of program outcomes reveals a complex picture of partial success across multiple dimensions, with notable achievements in some areas accompanied by significant shortcomings in others.

The program's most substantial success lies in its capacity for immediate revenue mobilization and database expansion. The collection of IDR 135 trillion in redemption payments, while falling short of the ambitious government target, nonetheless represents a meaningful contribution to state finances achieved through relatively efficient administrative processes. More significantly, the program succeeded in generating asset declarations totaling IDR 4,855 trillion, equivalent to 40% of national

GDP, far exceeding initial expectations and revealing the substantial scale of previously unreported wealth within the Indonesian economy.

The magnitude of asset declarations provides compelling evidence of the significant informal economic sector operating beyond the reach of conventional tax collection mechanisms. The predominantly domestic composition of declared assets, representing approximately 75.7% of total declarations, suggests that much of Indonesia's tax non-compliance stems from reporting deficiencies rather than capital flight, offering important insights for future policy design and enforcement strategies.

However, the program's limited success in asset repatriation, achieving only 14.7% of the government target, highlights significant constraints in policy effectiveness and design limitations. The substantial gap between repatriation ambitions and outcomes demonstrates that fiscal incentives alone are insufficient to overcome concerns about domestic investment climate, regulatory environment, and financial system sophistication. This finding suggests that effective repatriation policies require integrated approaches combining tax incentives with broader economic reforms designed to enhance domestic investment attractiveness.

The program's impact on long-term taxpayer compliance presents mixed evidence, with some indicators suggesting improved compliance behavior while others reveal persistent challenges in sustaining behavioral change beyond the amnesty period. The successful integration of approximately 48,000 new taxpayers into the formal tax system represents a meaningful expansion of tax base coverage, though the sustainability of this improvement depends critically on the tax administration's capacity to maintain effective engagement and enforcement mechanisms.

From an institutional development perspective, the tax amnesty program demonstrated both the capabilities and limitations of Indonesia's tax administration. The successful design and implementation of a complex multi-phase program with sophisticated incentive structures across different taxpayer categories reflects considerable institutional capacity. However, the challenges in achieving full participation targets and the substantial administrative resources required for program execution highlight ongoing capacity constraints that may limit the effectiveness of similar future initiatives.

The program's outcomes must be evaluated within the broader context of Indonesia's fiscal and economic development objectives. While the immediate revenue generation and database expansion achievements provide tangible benefits, the long-term value of the program will ultimately depend on how effectively the expanded taxpayer information and enhanced administrative experience are utilized to improve ongoing tax collection and compliance monitoring. The success of post-amnesty enforcement measures and the tax administration's ability to maintain credible deterrence mechanisms will largely determine whether the program's benefits prove sustainable or merely represent a temporary fiscal injection.

In the international context, Indonesia's tax amnesty program compares favorably with similar initiatives in other developing countries, particularly in terms of asset declaration scale and administrative execution. However, the limited repatriation success aligns with international experience, where bringing offshore assets back to domestic financial systems consistently proves more challenging than achieving domestic asset declarations.

The Indonesian tax amnesty experience provides valuable lessons for both domestic policy development and international tax policy discourse. The program demonstrates that tax amnesty can serve as an effective tool for immediate revenue generation and tax base expansion when designed and implemented with appropriate institutional support. However, the mixed results also underscore the importance of realistic target setting, comprehensive preparation, and integration with broader tax system reform efforts to maximize program effectiveness and ensure sustainable outcomes.

Ultimately, the 2016-2017 tax amnesty program represents a significant step in Indonesia's ongoing efforts to modernize its tax system and enhance fiscal capacity. While the program achieved meaningful successes in several key dimensions, its mixed results highlight the complexity of using tax amnesty as a comprehensive solution to structural tax system challenges. The program's legacy will depend largely on how effectively its achievements are built upon through sustained institutional development, consistent enforcement, and continued commitment to tax system reform in the post-amnesty period.

Recommendation

Based on the comprehensive analysis of Indonesia's tax amnesty program and its outcomes, several strategic recommendations emerge to maximize the program's long-term benefits while addressing identified limitations. These recommendations focus on building upon the program's achievements to create a more sustainable and effective tax system.

First, the government must prioritize consistent post-amnesty enforcement mechanisms to maintain the credibility of the tax system. The substantial database expansion achieved through the amnesty program should be leveraged through systematic audit programs targeting non-participants who possess undeclared assets. Implementation of Article 18 penalties under Law Number 11 of 2016 should be pursued transparently and proportionally to establish credible deterrence while maintaining public confidence in system fairness.

Second, optimization of the expanded taxpayer database requires immediate investment in advanced data analytics capabilities and cross-agency information integration. The tax administration should develop sophisticated risk assessment models to identify inconsistencies between declared assets and reported income, enabling more effective audit selection and compliance monitoring. Integration with financial institutions, property registration agencies, and other government departments will substantially improve compliance verification capabilities.

Third, comprehensive taxpayer education programs must be developed to foster a culture of voluntary compliance. These initiatives should utilize multiple channels including digital platforms, formal education curricula, and private sector partnerships to build understanding of tax obligations and their connection to national development. When taxpayers understand how their contributions support public services, intrinsic motivation for compliance increases significantly.

Fourth, Indonesia should strengthen international tax cooperation through full implementation of automatic exchange of information mechanisms and Common Reporting Standards. Enhanced international cooperation will improve monitoring of offshore assets while demonstrating that tax avoidance through foreign jurisdictions is becoming increasingly difficult and risky.

Finally, future policy initiatives should consider targeted approaches rather than broad-based amnesty programs. Sector-specific incentive programs, particularly for small and medium enterprises, can address compliance challenges while avoiding the equity concerns associated with comprehensive amnesty initiatives. These targeted approaches allow for more precise measurement of effectiveness and better cost-benefit analysis.

The implementation of these recommendations requires sustained political commitment, adequate resource allocation, and careful coordination across government agencies. Success depends not only on policy design quality but also on consistent and professional implementation over extended periods. The experience gained from the 2016-2017 tax amnesty program provides a valuable foundation, but realizing full potential requires continued learning, adaptation, and commitment to institutional excellence in tax administration.

REFERENCES

Agun, W. A. N. U., Datrini, L. K., & Amlayasa, A. A. B. (2022). Kepatuhan wajib pajak dalam memenuhi kewajiban perpajakan orang pribadi. *WICAKSANA: Jurnal Lingkungan Dan Pembangunan*, *6*(1), 23–31.

Astuti, W. (2018). Efektivitas Tax Amnesty Terhadap Peningkatan Penerimaan Pajak Nasional dan Arah Kebijakan Pemerintah Pasca Tax Amnesty (Periode Pengamatan Tahun 2012-2017). *EBBANK*, *9*(1), 82–91.

Cahya, R. D., & Kiswara, E. (2021). Analisis Implementasi Penyanderaan (Gijzeling) dalam Meningkatkan Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak dan Sebagai Upaya Pencairan Tunggakan Pajak: Menurut Perspektif Teori Kepatuhan Pajak:(Studi Kantor Wilayah Direktorat Jenderal Pajak Jawa Tengah I). *Scientax*, *3*(1), 130–158.

Fatmala, N. D., & Ardini, L. (2017). Persepsi Wajib Pajak Pada Program Tax Amnesty Untuk Meningkatkan Penerimaan Pajak. *Jurnal Ilmu Dan Riset Akuntansi (JIRA)*, *6*(8).

Fitriani, N., & Senopati, I. D. K. Y. (2021). Hubungan Antara Pertumbuhan Wajib Pajak dan Penerimaan Pajak Di Kantor Pelayanan Pajak (Kpp) Pratama Mataram Barat. *Jurnal Aplikasi Perpajakan*, *2*(2), 73–90.

Gojali, A., & Tarmidi, D. (2023). The Influence of External Taxpayer Factors on Tax Compliance to Join the Voluntary Disclosure Program. *Saudi J Econ Fin*, *7*(8), 373–391.

Haeruddin, H. (2017). Implementasi Tax Amnesty terhadap Penerimaan Pajak Negara di KPP Madya Makassar. *AkMen JURNAL ILMIAH*, *14*(2).

Ibrahim, M. A., Myrna, R., Irawati, I., & Kristiadi, J. B. (2018). Tax policy in Indonesian energy sectors: An overview of tax amnesty implementation. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, *8*(4), 234–236.

Iqbal Maulana, I. K. (2021). Analisis Tax Amnesty sebagai Upaya Memperkuat Penerimaan Negara di Sektor Pajak. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Islam*, *28*.

Kurniawati, L. (2018). Tax amnesty upaya memperkuat penerimaan negara sektor pajak. *Substansi*, *1*(2), 238–264.

Manan, A., & Amni, E. I. (2021). Tingkat Kepatuhan dan Penerimaan Pajak di KP2KP Selong di Era Pandemi Covid19. *Jurnal Aplikasi Perpajakan*, *2*(1), 35–46.

Meilita, S., & Pohan, H. T. (2022). Pengaruh kesadaran wajib pajak, sanksi perpajakan, dan e-filing terhadap kepatuhan wajib pajak orang pribadi di KPP Kelapa Gading Jakarta. *Jurnal Ekonomi Trisakti*, *2*(2), 1165–1178.

Nurmantu, S. (2003). *Pengantar perpajakan*. Yayasan Obor Indonesia.

Pravasanti, Y. A. (2018). Dampak Kebijakan dan Keberhasilan Tax Amnesty Bagi Perekonomian Indonesia. *Kompartemen: Jurnal Ilmiah Akuntansi*, *16*(1).

Said, E. W. (2017). Tax policy in action: 2016 tax amnesty experience of the Republic of Indonesia. *Laws*, *6*(4), 16.

Said, L. (2018). Implementation of tax amnesty and its impact on Indonesia economics. *Reports on Economics and Finance*, *4*(1), 45–56.

Siahaan, M. P. (2005). *Pajak daerah dan retribusi daerah*.

Soemitro, R. (1965). Dasar-dasar hukum pajak dan pajak pendapatan 1944. *(No Title)*.

Sugiyono. (2016). Metode penelitian kunatitatif kualitatif dan R&D. *Alfabeta, Bandung*.

Susanti, E. Y., Wibisono, W., & Maskur, M. (2022). Kebijakan Program Tax Amnesty dalam Rangka Meningkatkan Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak (Program Tax Amnesty 2016–2017). *El-Mahasaba*, *1*(2).

Susanto, T. W., & Lukman, H. (2024). Meningkatkan Kesadaran Kewajiban Melaporkan SPT Tahunan Melalui Asistesi Pengisian SPT E-Filing. *Jurnal Serina Abdimas*, *2*(3), 1314–1321.

Wiyono, W., Sari, M. E. P., & Effendi, W. R. (2020). Analisis Peraturan Menteri Republik Indonesia Nomor 118/Pmk. 03/2016 tentang Pelaksanaan UU No. 11 Tahun 2016 tentang Pengampunan Pajak di Kota Batam Tahun 2016. *Jurnal Trias Politika*, *4*(2), 164–172.

Wulan, C., Ahmad, S., & Lutfi, A. (2023). Efektivitas Implementasi Kebijakan Pengampunan Pajak Menurut Undang-Undang Pengampunan Pajak. *Binamulia Hukum*, *12*(2), 487–496.